

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On the connection between quantisation schemes and coherent states

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 2751 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/22/14/023)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 06:57

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# On the connection between quantisation schemes and coherent states

L C Papaloucas† and J Rembieliński‡§

<sup>+</sup> Institute of Mathematics, University of Athens, 106 79 Athens, Greece
<sup>+</sup> Institute of Physics, University of Lódź, Nowotki 149/153, 90-236 Lódź, Poland

Received 28 October 1988

Abstract. We analyse a possible connection between different quantisation schemes and the Bargman-Segal realisation of the Heisenberg algebra H. We show that only a one-parameter subfamily of the family of Heisenberg algebras  $H_Q$  subduced from  $H \oplus H$  can be rewritten in the Bargman-Segal form.

# 1. Introduction

One of the most interesting approaches to the quantisation problem is that which formulates itself on the phase space of the physical system under consideration. Such a formulation is based on the observation made by several authors [1-7] who have suggested a quantisation mapping adhering to the form

$$\hat{f} = iX_f + f - p\partial f / \partial p - q\partial f / \partial q$$
(1.1)

where  $X_f$  is a tangent vector field on phase space associated with the function f for some vector field X [8, 9].

Specific realisations of quantisation mapping resulting from the general prescription given by (1.1) are the following.

(i) Van Hove's [1, 7] mapping

$$\hat{Q} = q + i \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \tag{1.2a}$$

$$\hat{P} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \tag{1.2b}$$

or its improved form [10]

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{2}q + i\frac{\partial}{\partial p}$$
(1.3*a*)

$$\hat{P} = -2i\frac{\partial}{\partial q}.$$
(1.3b)

#### § Supported by CPBP 01.09.

0305-4470/89/142751+07\$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd

2751

(ii) The symmetric quantisation mapping [4-6, 10]

$$\hat{Q} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \frac{1}{2}q \tag{1.4a}$$

$$\hat{P} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{1}{2}p. \tag{1.4b}$$

There are various reasons why the above prescriptions might be advantageous with respect to the conventional quantisation mapping (see [1-10] and also Chernoff [11]).

The aim of the present paper is to find a specific relation between possible quantisation schemes and the Bargmann-Segal [12, 13] realisation (hereafter referred to as BS) of the Heisenberg algebra. As is well known the last is closely connected to the coherent states [14, 15].

Our paper is organised as follows. In § 2 we investigate the Heisenberg subalgebras  $H_Q$  and  $H_q$  rotated by a symplectic transformation. We relate our findings to the irreducible subspaces connected with the eigenvalues of  $N_q$ . In § 3 we connect the realisation of  $H_Q$  with the BS one.

## 2. Embeddings of H in $H \oplus H$ and the representation problem

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions  $\Psi(q, p)$  of q and p with measure  $d\mu_0 = dqdp$  which are defined on the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Following the famous von Neumann theorem [16] the space  $\mathscr{H}$  is the underlying space for irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra H generated by the multiplication operations by q and p by differentiation  $-i\partial/\partial q$  and  $-i\partial/\partial p$  and by identity. In H we can distinguish two subalgebras generated by  $(q, -i\partial/\partial q, I)$  and  $(p, -i\partial/\partial p, I)$ , respectively, with a common one-dimensional subspace spanned by the identity I. It is obvious that the above decomposition of the algebra H is not unique: we can go to another set of generators by a symplectic transformation  $\Omega \in Sp(2; R)$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q\\ \hat{P}\\ \hat{q}\\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} q\\ -i\partial/\partial q\\ p\\ -i\partial/\partial p \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)

where

 $\Omega^{\mathsf{T}} J \Omega = J \tag{2.2a}$ 

$$\Omega^* = \Omega \tag{2.2b}$$

and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.3)

is the symplectic matrix.

, **.**.

The pair  $(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}, I)$  and  $(\hat{q}, \hat{p}, I)$  now generate two Heisenberg algebras  $H_Q$  and  $H_q$ , respectively. Each symplectic transformation  $\Omega \in \text{Sp}(2; R)$  can be decomposed into two parts; one part which leaves invariant the subalgebras spaces (it changes the basis within subalgebras only) and the other part which non-trivially mixes these subspaces. The former one forms the stability group of a given subalgebra and is given by the evident condition

$$(I - \Pi)\Omega_0 \Pi = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\Omega_0 \in G_0$ , the stability subgroup  $(G_0 \subset \text{Sp}(2; R))$ , I is the identity matrix,

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

projects on the upper subspace corresponding to  $H_Q$  and  $I_2$  is the 2×2 unit matrix. The condition (2.4) can be solved immediately and we obtain as a result

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_+ & 0\\ 0 & \Omega_- \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.6)

where  $\Omega_{\pm}$  are 2×2 real matrices with det  $\Omega_{\pm} = 1$ , i.e.

$$\Omega_0 \in \operatorname{Sp}(1; R) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1; R) \simeq \operatorname{SL}(2; R) \times \operatorname{SL}(2; R) = \operatorname{G}_0.$$
(2.7)

Now the essentially different choices of the subalgebra, say  $H_Q$ , are parametrised by points of the quotient space [17, 18]  $Sp(2; R)/Sp(1; R) \times Sp(1; R)$ . In order to obtain an explicit parametrisation of the above coset space, let us note that the elements outside the Lie algebra of the stability subgroup take the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_2 \omega^{\mathsf{T}} \sigma_2 \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.8)

where

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8a}$$

is the Pauli matrix and  $\omega$  is an arbitrary 2×2 real matrix. Consequently the corresponding group elements are

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha & \delta & -\varepsilon \\ \overline{\varepsilon} & \gamma & \alpha & 0 \\ \delta & -\beta & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.9)

where the real parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$  satisfy the relation

$$\alpha^2 - (\beta \varepsilon + \gamma \delta) = 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that the elements W and -W belong to the same coset because  $\pm I \in G_0$ . Therefore, to get a global homeomorphy between the coset space  $Sp(2; R)/Sp(1; R) \times Sp(1; R)$  and the set  $\{W\}$  we must demand  $\alpha \ge 0$ . Geometrically the considered quotient space is the one-sheet hyperboloid  $H_{3,2}$  (dim  $H_{3,2} = 4$ ); this yields readily if we pass to coordinates  $x_1, \ldots, x_5$  defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.11)

In terms of these coordinates, the constraint (2.10) takes the form

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2 - x_5^2 = 1$$
(2.12)

determining  $H_{3,2}$ .

We are led to the conclusion that  $\Omega \in Sp(2; R)$  can be represented as follows:

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 W \tag{2.13}$$

with  $\Omega_0$  and W given by (2.6) and (2.9), respectively. Therefore, the explicit form of (2.1) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_{+} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -i\partial/\partial q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ \delta & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ -i\partial/\partial p \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
(2.14*a*)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{q} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_{-} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ -i\partial/\partial p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \gamma \\ \delta & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -i\partial/\partial q \end{pmatrix} \right].$$
 (2.14b)

Because we are interested in quantisation of a one-dimensional system we must subduce the representation of H acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  to, say, H<sub>Q</sub>. However the subduced representation of H<sub>Q</sub> is in  $\mathcal{H}$  highly reducible; irreducible subspaces are marked by eigenvalues of the occupation number operator for H<sub>q</sub>, namely by

$$N_q = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{q} - i\hat{p})(\hat{q} + i\hat{p}).$$
(2.15)

In the following we restrict ourselves to the irreducible subspace connected with the eigenvalue zero of  $N_q$ . To do this, we note first that the equation

$$N_q \Psi(q, p) = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

implies, via positive definiteness of the norm in  $\mathcal{H}$ , that

$$(\hat{q} + i\hat{p})\Psi(q, p) = 0.$$
 (2.17)

Therefore the explicit form of the projection equation (2.17), using (2.14a, b), is

$$0 = \{\alpha[(a+ic)p + (d-ib)\partial/\partial p] + [\varepsilon(a+ic) + \delta(b+id)]q -i[\gamma(a+ic) - \beta(b+id)]\partial/\partial q\}\Psi(q, p)$$
(2.17a)

where a, b, c, d parametrise  $\Omega_{-}$ :

$$\Omega_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.18)

with the constraint

$$ad - bc = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

The general case will be considered in the next section.

### 3. Connection with the BS representation

Now let us try to connect the realisation of  $H_Q(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon)$  with the BS one. We remember first that in the BS representation case we have to deal with the Hilbert space of entire functions  $\phi(z^*)$  of  $z^*$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , with the measure

$$d\mu = d^2 z \exp(-\frac{1}{4}|z|^2)$$
(3.1)

and with action of the annihilation and creation operators corresponding to the differentiation  $\partial/\partial z^*$  and multiplication by  $z^*$  respectively. Therefore we should redefine  $\Psi$  and  $\mu_0$  as well as connect z with q and p as follows:

$$\Psi(q, p) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2)\phi(z^*)$$
(3.2a)

$$d\mu_0 = d\mu \, \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}|z|^2\right) \tag{3.2b}$$

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (q' + ip')$$
(3.2c)

where q' and p' are appropriate linear combinations of q and p. Moreover, in the action on  $\phi(z^*)$ 

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{Q}+\mathrm{i}\hat{P}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^*}$$
(3.2*d*)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{Q} - i\hat{P}) = z^*.$$
(3.2e)

However the consistency between (2.17) and (3.2a-e) leads to the relations

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
  $\beta = 0$   $\delta = -\frac{1}{2\gamma}$   $\varepsilon = 0$  (3.3*a*)

$$\Omega_{+} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} d/\gamma & 2\gamma c \\ b/\gamma & 2\gamma a \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.3b)

$$\begin{pmatrix} q' \\ p' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -d/\gamma & \sqrt{2} c \\ -b/\gamma & \sqrt{2} a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.3c)

with

$$\Omega_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

Consequently, the explicit form of the base elements of the distinguished Heisenberg algebra  $H_Q$  is

$$\hat{Q} = -\frac{d}{2\gamma}q + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}p + i\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + i\gamma c\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$
(3.5*a*)

$$\hat{P} = -\frac{b}{2\gamma}q + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}p + i\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + i\gamma a\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$
(3.5b)

while the constraint (2.17a) for  $\Psi(q, p)$  takes the form

$$[(a+ic)(p-i\gamma\sqrt{2}\partial/\partial q) - (b+id)(1/\gamma\sqrt{2}q+i\partial/\partial p)]\Psi(q,p) = 0$$
(3.6)

with (3.2a) as the solution and z given by

$$z = -\frac{1}{\gamma\sqrt{2}} (d + ib)q + (c + ia)p.$$
(3.7)

From the above derivation we see that only the one-parameter subfamily of the Heisenberg algebra family  $H_Q(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon)$ , namely those corresponding to  $H_Q(1/\sqrt{2}, 0, \gamma, -1/2\gamma, 0)$ , can be connected with the BS realisation and consequently with the coherent-state description

$$\Psi(q, p) = \langle z | \Psi \rangle \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\{|z\}$  is the set of coherent states. Note that for

$$\gamma = -\sqrt{2}$$
  $a = 1/\sqrt{2}$   $b = c = 0$   $d = \sqrt{2}$  (3.9)

we obtain from (3.5*a*, *b*) the symmetric form of  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{P}$ 

$$\hat{Q}_s = \frac{1}{2}q + i\partial/\partial p \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\hat{P}_s = \frac{1}{2}p - i\partial/\partial q. \tag{3.10b}$$

as well as

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (q + ip).$$
(3.11)

On the other hand it is very surprising that the van Hove and improved van Hove [10] choice of  $\hat{P}$  and  $\hat{Q}$  does not belong to the above subfamily and consequently cannot be rewritten in the BS form.

Now we investigate the general case, that is when (2.16) takes the form

$$(N_q - n)\Psi(q, p) = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

with  $n \neq 0$ . This equation is the projection equation on a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  underlying an irreducible representation of  $H_Q$ . If eventually this representation of the Heisenberg algebra can be rewritten in the BS form, then in the action on  $\Psi(q, p) = \Psi'(z, z^*)$  the generators

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{Q}+\mathrm{i}\hat{P}) \tag{3.13a}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{Q}-\mathrm{i}\hat{P}) \tag{3.13b}$$

should have the form

$$\frac{1}{2}z + \partial/\partial z^*$$
 and  $z^*$  (3.14)

respectively.

On the other hand  $(N_q - n)$ , from construction, is a differential operator of degree two with respect to  $\partial/\partial z$  and  $\partial/\partial z^*$ . Moreover  $N_q - n$  should commute with  $\frac{1}{2}z + \partial/\partial z^*$  and  $z^*$ .

However, a direct calculation shows that this holds only for the above-considered case n = 0. Therefore, for n > 0 no representation of  $H_Q(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  related to the BS realisation.

### References

- [1] van Hove L 1951 Proc. R. Acad. Sci. Belg. 26 317
- [2] Segal I E 1960 J. Math. Phys. 1 468
- [3] Sourian J M 1970 Structure des Systemés Dynamiques (Paris: Dunod)
- [4] Streater R F 1966 Commun. Math. Phys. 2 354
- [5] Berezin F A and Subin M A 1972 Collog. Math. Soc. Janos Rolyai vol 5 (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [6] George C and Prigogine I 1979 Physica 99A 369
- [7] Prugovecki E 1982 Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 1065
- [8] Joseph A 1970 Commun. Math. Phys. 17 210
- [9] Wollenberg I S 1967 Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 20 315
- [10] Ktorides C N and Papaloucas L C 1986 Prog. Theor. Phys. 75 301
- [11] Chernoff P R 1981 Hadronic J. 4 479
- [12] Bargmann V 1961 Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 14 187
- [13] Segal I E 1962 Illinois J. Math. 6 500
- [14] Klauder R J 1960 Ann. Phys., NY 11 123
- [15] Glauber J R 1963 Phys. Rev. 131 2766
- [16] von Neumann J 1955 Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- Barut A and Raczka R 1977 Theory of Group Representations and Applications (Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publishers)
- [18] Helgason S 1978 Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces (New York: Academic)